Overview

Transition Semantics

- Configurations and the transition relation
- Executions and computation
- Inference rules for small-step structural operational semantics for the simple imperative language
- Transition semantics of failure
- Labeled transition semantics of input and output
- Relationship with (direct) denotational semantics

Operational (or Transition) Semantics

Idea: Define the execution of a program as a sequence $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots$ of configurations $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$.

Configurations are either terminal or nonterminal:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_T \cup \Gamma_N \qquad \Gamma_T \cap \Gamma_N = \{\}$$

e.g. for the SIL

$$\Gamma_T = \Sigma$$
 $\Gamma_N = comm \times \Sigma$ $[x:42] \in \Gamma_T$ $\langle x:=x+1, [x:41] \rangle \in \Gamma_N$

Define a transition relation \mapsto from Γ_N to Γ :

informally, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma'$ if γ' is obtained "in one step" from γ , e.g.

$$\langle x := x+1, [x:41] \rangle \mapsto [x:42]$$

Executions and Computation

An execution is a (finite or infinite) sequence of configurations $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots$ such that $\gamma_i \mapsto \gamma_{i+1}$ whenever γ_i and γ_{i+1} are in the sequence.

The relation of computation \mapsto^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \mapsto

 $\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma'$ if there is a finite execution starting with γ and ending with γ' .

For the SIL we will define \mapsto which is a total function from Γ_N to Γ ,

 \Rightarrow for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a longest execution starting with γ ; if it is infinite, then γ diverges: $\gamma \uparrow$; otherwise there is a unique $\gamma' \in \Gamma_T$ such that $\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma'$.

Plotkin Style Small-Step Structural Operational Semantics for the SIL

We define the relation \mapsto in terms of inference rules.

(skip)
$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle} \mapsto \sigma$$
(assgn)
$$\overline{\langle v := e, \sigma \rangle} \mapsto [\sigma \mid v : [\![e]\!]_{intexp} \sigma]$$
(seq t)
$$\frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \sigma'}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle}$$
(seq s)
$$\frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle c'_0, \sigma' \rangle}$$

Example:

$$(by (seq t)) \ \frac{(by (assgn))}{\langle x := x+1, [x : 4 | y : 6] \rangle \mapsto [x : 5 | y : 6]}{\langle x := x+1; y := y+x, [x : 4 | y : 6] \rangle \mapsto \langle y := y+x, [x : 5 | y : 6] \rangle}{\langle x := x+1; y := y+x; skip, [x : 4 | y : 6] \rangle \mapsto \langle y := y+x; skip, [x : 5 | y : 6] \rangle}$$

More SOS Rules

However the naïve rule for variable declaration

$$\overline{\langle \operatorname{newvar} v := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle c ; v := n, [\sigma \mid v : [e]]_{intexp} \sigma] \rangle} \text{ where } n = \sigma v$$

exposes the local variable name in the result, which becomes a problem when we extend the language.

SOS Rule for Local Variable Declaration

Idea: Use the declaration to reflect changes in the value of the variable.

$$(\text{decl t}) \quad \frac{\langle c, [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma] \rangle \mapsto \sigma'}{\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto [\sigma' \mid v : \sigma v]}$$

$$(\text{decl s}) \quad \frac{\langle c, [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma] \rangle \mapsto \langle c', \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \text{newvar } v := \sigma' v \text{ in } c', [\sigma' \mid v : \sigma v] \rangle}$$

$$(decl\ s)\ \frac{(assgn)}{\langle x\!:=\!x\!+\!1,\ [x\!:\!24\,|\,y\!:\!10]\rangle \mapsto [x\!:\!25\,|\,y\!:\!10]}{\langle x\!:=\!x\!+\!1\,;\,y\!:=\!x\!+\!2,\ [x\!:\!24\,|\,y\!:\!10]\rangle \mapsto \langle y\!:=\!x\!+\!2,\ [x\!:\!25\,|\,y\!:\!10]\rangle}{\langle newvar\ x\!:=\!x\!+\!3\ in\ x\!:=\!x\!+\!1\,;\,y\!:=\!x\!+\!2,\ [x\!:\!21\,|\,y\!:\!10]\rangle} \mapsto \langle newvar\ x\!:=\!25\ in\ y\!:=\!x\!+\!2,\ [x\!:\!21\,|\,y\!:\!10]\rangle$$

Inference Rules for the Computation

The reflexive and transitive closure of \mapsto can also be defined using inference rules:

$$(incl) \frac{\gamma \mapsto \gamma'}{\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma'}$$

(refl)
$$\frac{}{\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma}$$

(trans)
$$\frac{\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma' \ \gamma' \mapsto^* \gamma''}{\gamma \mapsto^* \gamma''}$$

Meaning of Commands

 $\mapsto \in \Gamma_N \to \Gamma$ (total function)

 $\Rightarrow \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a longest execution starting from γ , either infinite or ending with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_T = \Sigma$.

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = \begin{cases} \bot, & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \uparrow \\ \sigma', & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto^* \sigma' \end{cases}$$

Transition Semantics of Failure

Define $\Gamma_T = \Sigma \cup (\{abort\} \times \Sigma)$. Then

(fail)
$$\frac{}{\langle \mathbf{fail}, \, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \mathbf{abort}, \, \sigma \rangle}$$

Propagation of failure:

$$(\operatorname{seq} x) \quad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \operatorname{abort}, \sigma \rangle}{\langle c_0 ; c_1, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \operatorname{abort}, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$(\operatorname{decl} x) \quad \frac{\langle c, [\sigma | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma] \rangle \mapsto \langle \operatorname{abort}, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \operatorname{newvar} v := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \operatorname{abort}, [\sigma' | v : \sigma v] \rangle}$$

The semantics of commands becomes

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = \begin{cases} \bot, & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \uparrow \\ \sigma', & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto^* \sigma' \\ \langle \text{abort } \sigma' \rangle, & \text{if } \langle c, \sigma \rangle \mapsto^* \langle \text{abort } \sigma' \rangle \end{cases}$$

Labeled Transition Semantics of Input and Output

Informally: Write labels on transitions to show input or output. Rules:

(output)
$$\frac{}{\langle !e, \sigma \rangle \overset{!n}{\mapsto} \sigma} \qquad \text{when } n = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma$$
(input)
$$\frac{}{\langle ?v, \sigma \rangle \overset{?n}{\mapsto} [\sigma \mid v : n]}$$

Formally, the transition "relation" becomes ternary:

$$\mapsto \subseteq \Gamma_N \times \Lambda \times \Gamma$$
, where

$$\Lambda = \{\epsilon\} \cup \{?n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{!n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \qquad (\epsilon \text{ is silent })$$

and $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{\lambda} \gamma$ stands for $\langle \langle c, \sigma \rangle, \lambda, \gamma \rangle \in \longrightarrow$.

Labeled Transition Semantics cont'd

The other rules are generalized to propagate the labels, e.g.

$$(\text{seq t}) \quad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \sigma'}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$(\text{seq s}) \quad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \langle c'_0, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \langle c'_0; c_1, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$(\text{seq x}) \quad \frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \overset{\lambda}{\mapsto} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle}$$

Properties of the Labeled Transition Semantics

If $\gamma = \langle c, \sigma \rangle \in \Gamma_N$, then exactly one of these holds:

- $\exists ! \gamma' \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma'$ (silent transition)
- $\exists ! \gamma' \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ such that } \gamma \stackrel{!n}{\mapsto} \gamma'$
- $\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \gamma' \in \Gamma \text{ and } \gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} \gamma'\} = \{?n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$

Hence for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a longest sequence of silent transitions which is either

- infinite
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_T$
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_N$ such that $\exists ! \gamma'' \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gamma' \stackrel{!n}{\mapsto} \gamma''$
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_N$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\exists \gamma'' \in \Gamma$. $\gamma' \stackrel{?n}{\mapsto} \gamma''$.

Relationship with the Denotational Semantics

So, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a longest sequence of silent transitions which is either

- infinite
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_T$
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_N$ such that $\exists ! \gamma'' \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\gamma' \stackrel{!n}{\mapsto} \gamma''$
- ends with a $\gamma' \in \Gamma_N$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\exists \gamma'' \in \Gamma$. $\gamma' \stackrel{?n}{\mapsto} \gamma''$.

If $\Omega \cong (\hat{\Sigma} + (\mathbf{Z} \times \Omega) + [\mathbf{Z} \to \Omega])_{\perp}$ and $F \in [\Gamma \to \Omega]$ is the least solution of

$$F \gamma = \begin{cases} \bot, & \text{if } \gamma \uparrow \\ \iota_{\mathsf{term}} \sigma', & \text{if } \gamma \mapsto^* \sigma' \\ \iota_{\mathsf{abort}} \sigma', & \text{if } \gamma \mapsto^* \langle \mathsf{abort}, \sigma' \rangle \\ \iota_{\mathsf{out}} \langle n, F \gamma'' \rangle, & \text{if } \exists \gamma'. \gamma \mapsto^* \gamma' \text{ and } \gamma' \stackrel{!n}{\mapsto} \gamma'' \\ \iota_{\mathsf{in}} (\lambda n \in \mathbf{Z}. F \gamma_n), & \text{if } \exists \gamma' \in \Gamma. \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}. \gamma \mapsto^* \gamma' \text{ and } \gamma' \stackrel{?n}{\mapsto} \gamma_n \end{cases}$$

then $[\![c]\!]_{comm}\sigma = F\langle c, \sigma \rangle$.